

Fixed point results for α - ψ -locally graphic contraction in dislocated quasimetric spaces

Muhammad Arshad · Fahimuddin ·
Abdullah Shoaib · Aftab Hussain

Received: 20 June 2014 / Accepted: 14 October 2014 / Published online: 11 November 2014
© The Author(s) 2014. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract In this paper, we have obtained fixed point results for α - ψ -locally contractive type mappings in a closed ball in left K -sequentially complete and in right K -sequentially complete dislocated quasimetric spaces. Moreover the mappings under consideration are α -admissible with respect to η . We have used conditions weaker than those of Samet et al. [Nonlinear Anal. 75:2154–2165, (2012)]. As an application, we have derived some new fixed point theorems for ψ -graphic contractions defined on dislocated quasimetric space endowed with a graph as well as ordered dislocated metric space. Some comparative examples are constructed which illustrate the superiority of our results. In the process we have generalized several well known, recent and classical results from the literature.

Keywords Fixed point · Complete dislocated quasimetric space · Left K -sequentially complete · α - ψ -contractive mappings · ψ -graphic contractions · Closed ball.

Introduction and preliminaries

Fixed point theory has wide and endless applications in many fields of engineering and science. Its core, the

Banach contraction principle, has attracted many researchers who tried to generalize it in different aspects. Fixed point results of mappings satisfying certain contractive condition on the entire domain has been at the centre of rigorous research activities.

From the application point of view the situation is not yet completely satisfactory because it frequently happens that a mapping T is a contraction not on the entire space X but merely on a subset Y of X . However, we impose subtle restrictions to obtain fixed point results for such mapping. Recently Arshad et al. [7] proved a result concerning the existence of fixed points of a mapping satisfying a contractive conditions on closed ball in a complete dislocated metric space. Other results on closed ball can be seen in [6, 8–11, 27, 35, 36]. Recently, Karapinar et al. [24] introduced the concept of quasi-partial metric space. Zeyada et al. [37] introduced the concept of dislocated quasimetric which is basically the generalization of quasi-partial metric space.

Recently, Samet et al. [34] introduced the notions of α - ψ -contractive and α -admissible mapping in complete metric spaces. The existence of fixed points of α - ψ -contractive and α -admissible mapping in complete metric spaces has been studied by several researchers, (see [3, 4, 19, 20, 25, 26, 33]).

Consistent with [33, 34, 37], we give the following definitions which will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 1.1 [37] Let X be a nonempty set. Let $d_q : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function, called a dislocated quasimetric (or simply d_q -metric) if the following conditions hold for any $x, y, z \in X$:

- (i) If $d_q(x, y) = d_q(y, x) = 0$, then $x = y$,
- (ii) $d_q(x, y) \leq d_q(x, z) + d_q(z, y)$.

M. Arshad (✉) · Fahimuddin · A. Shoaib · A. Hussain
Department of Mathematics, International Islamic University,
Islamabad 44000, Pakistan
e-mail: marshad_zia@iiu.edu.pk

Fahimuddin
e-mail: fahamiiu@gmail.com

A. Shoaib
e-mail: abdullahshoaib15@yahoo.com

A. Hussain
e-mail: aftabshh@gmail.com



The pair (X, d_q) is called a dislocated quasi metric space. It is clear that if $d_q(x, y) = d_q(y, x) = 0$, then from (i), $x = y$. But if $x = y$, $d_q(x, y)$ may not be 0. It is observed that if $d_q(x, y) = d_q(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then (X, d_q) becomes a dislocated metric space. We shall denote (X, d_l) for a dislocated metric space. The ball $\overline{B(x, \varepsilon)}$ where $\overline{B(x, \varepsilon)} = \{y \in X : d_q(x, y) \leq \varepsilon\}$ is a closed ball in dislocated quasi metric space, for some $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. It is clear that any quasi-partial metric is a d_q -metric.

Example 1.2 If $X = \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ then $d_q(x, y) = x + 2y$ defines a dislocated quasi metric d_q on X .

Example 1.3 If $X = \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ then $d_q(x, y) = x + \max\{x, y\}$ defines a dislocated quasi metric d_q on X .

Let Ψ denote the family of all nondecreasing functions $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \psi^n(t) < +\infty$ for all $t > 0$, where ψ^n is the n^{th} iterate of ψ .

Lemma 1.4 [33] If $\psi \in \Psi$, then $\psi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$.

Definition 1.5 [34] Let (X, d) be a metric space and $S : X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping. We say that S is α - ψ contractive mapping if there exist two functions $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ and $\psi \in \Psi$ such that $\alpha(x, y)d(Sx, Sy) \leq \psi(d(x, y))$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Remark 1.6 [33] By definition, $\alpha(x, x) \neq 0$ for $x \in X$.

Definition 1.7 [33] Let $S : X \rightarrow X$ and $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be two functions. We say that S is α -admissible mapping with respect to η if $x, y \in X$ such that $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y)$ then we have $\alpha(Sx, Sy) \geq \eta(Sx, Sy)$. Note that if we take $\eta(x, y) = 1$, then this definition reduces to Definition 1.1 of [33]. Also, if we take $\alpha(x, y) = 1$, then we say that T is an η -subadmissible mapping.

In this paper, we shall prove a theorem which is an extension of the results of Samet et al. [34].

Main results

Reilly et al. [31] introduced the notion of left (right) K -Cauchy sequence and left (right) K -sequentially complete spaces (see [11, 16]). We use this concept to establish the following definition.

Definition 2.1 Let (X, d_q) be a dislocated quasi metric space.

- A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, d_q) is called left (right) K -Cauchy if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n > m \geq n_0$, $d_q(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon$ (respectively $d_q(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$).
- A sequence $\{x_n\}$ dislocated quasi-converges (for short d_q -converges) to x if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_q(x_n, x) =$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_q(x, x_n) = 0$. In this case x is called a d_q -limit of $\{x_n\}$.

- (X, d_q) is called left (right) K -sequentially complete if every left (right) K -Cauchy sequence in X converges to a point $x \in X$ such that $d_q(x, x) = 0$.

One can easily observe that every complete dislocated quasi metric space is also left K -sequentially complete dislocated quasi metric space but the converse is not true in general.

Theorem 1 Let (X, d_q) be a left K -sequentially complete dislocated quasi metric space. Suppose there exist two functions, $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X and $S : X \rightarrow X$ be α -admissible with respect to η and $\psi \in \Psi$. Assume that,

$$x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}, \alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y) \implies d_q(Sx, Sy) \leq \psi(d_q(x, y)) \quad (1)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^j \psi^i(d_q(x_0, Sx_0)) \leq r, \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } r > 0. \quad (2)$$

Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- $\alpha(x_0, Sx_0) \geq \eta(x_0, Sx_0)$;
- for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$ such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $x_n \rightarrow u \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ then $\alpha(x_n, u) \geq \eta(x_n, u)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Then, there exists a point x^* in $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$ such that $x^* = Sx^*$.

Proof Choose a point x_1 in X such that $x_1 = Sx_0$ let $x_2 = Sx_1$. Continuing this process, we construct a sequence x_n of points in X such that,

$$x_{i+1} = Sx_i, \text{ where } i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

□

By assumption $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq \eta(x_0, x_1)$ and S is α -admissible with respect to η , we have, $\alpha(Sx_0, Sx_1) \geq \eta(Sx_0, Sx_1)$ we deduce that $\alpha(x_1, x_2) \geq \eta(x_1, x_2)$ which also implies that $\alpha(Sx_1, Sx_2) \geq \eta(Sx_1, Sx_2)$. Continuing in this way obtain $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. First we show that $x_n \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using inequality (2), we have,

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \psi^i(d_q(x_0, Sx_0)) \leq r \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It follows that,

$$x_1 \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}.$$

Let $x_2, \dots, x_j \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. so using inequality (1), we obtain,



$$\begin{aligned}
d_q(x_j, x_{j+1}) &= d_q(Sx_{j-1}, Sx_j) \\
&\leq \psi(d_q(x_{j-1}, x_j)) \\
&\leq \psi^2(d_q(x_{j-2}, x_{j-1})) \\
&\leq \cdots \leq \psi^j(d_q(x_0, x_1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have,

$$d_q(x_j, x_{j+1}) \leq \psi^j(d_q(x_0, x_1)). \quad (3)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_q(x_0, x_{j+1}) &= d_q(x_0, x_1) + d_q(x_1, x_2) + d_q(x_2, x_3) + \cdots \\
&\quad + d_q(x_j, x_{j+1}) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^j \psi^i(d_q(x_0, x_1)) \\
&\leq r.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $x_{j+1} \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$. Hence $x_n \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$ for all $n \in N$. Now inequality (3) can be written as

$$d_q(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \psi^n(d_q(x_0, x_1)), \quad \text{for all } n \in N. \quad (4)$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $n(\varepsilon) \in N$ such that $\sum \psi^n(d_q(x_0, x_1)) < \varepsilon$. let $n, m \in N$ with $m > n > n(\varepsilon)$ using the triangle inequality, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_q(x_n, x_m) &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} d_q(x_k, x_{k+1}) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \psi^k(d_q(x_0, x_1)) \\
&\leq \sum_{n \geq n(\varepsilon)} \psi^k(d_q(x_0, x_1)) < \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved that $\{x_n\}$ is a left K -Cauchy sequence in $(\overline{B(x_0, r)}, d_q)$. As $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$ is closed, so it is left K -sequentially complete. Therefore, there exists a point $x^* \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$. Also

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_q(x_n, x^*) = 0. \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, from (ii), we have,

$$\alpha(x^*, x_n) \geq \eta(x^*, x_n) \quad \text{for all } n \in N \cup \{0\}. \quad (6)$$

Now using the triangle inequality, also by using (1) and (6), we get

$$d_q(Sx^*, x_{i+1}) \leq \psi(d_q(x^*, x_i)) < d_q(x^*, x_i). \quad (7)$$

Letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ and by using inequality (7), we obtain $d_q(Sx^*, x^*) < 0$. Hence $Sx^* = x^*$.

If $\eta(x, y) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ in Theorem 2.2, we obtain following result.

Corollary 2 Let (X, d_q) be a left K -sequentially complete dislocated quasi metric space. Suppose there exist a function, $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X and $S : X \rightarrow X$ be α -admissible and $\psi \in \Psi$. Assume that,

$$x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}, \alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \implies d_q(Sx, Sy) \leq \psi(d_q(x, y))$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^j \psi^i(d_q(x_0, Sx_0)) \leq r, \quad \text{for all } j \in N \text{ and } r > 0.$$

Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (i) $\alpha(x_0, Sx_0) \geq 1$;
- (ii) for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$ such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ and $x_n \rightarrow u \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ then $\alpha(x_n, u) \geq 1$ for all $n \in N \cup \{0\}$.

Then, there exists a point x^* in $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$ such that $x^* = Sx^*$.

Theorem 3 Adding condition “if x and y are any fixed point in $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$ then $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y)$ ” to the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2. Then S has a unique fixed point x^* and $d_q(x^*, x^*) = 0$.

Proof Assume that x^* and y^* be two fixed point of S in $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$, then, by assumption, $\alpha(x^*, y^*) \geq \eta(x^*, y^*)$,

$$d_q(x^*, y^*) = d_q(Sx^*, Sy^*) \leq \psi(d_q(x^*, y^*))$$

A contradiction to the fact that for each $t > 0$, $\psi(t) < t$. So $x^* = y^*$. Hence S has no fixed point other than x^* . Now, $\alpha(x^*, x^*) \geq \eta(x^*, x^*)$, then,

$$d_q(x^*, x^*) = d_q(Sx^*, Sx^*) \leq \psi(d_q(x^*, x^*)).$$

This implies that,

$$d_q(x^*, x^*) = 0.$$

□

Example 2.2 Let $X = \mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$ and let $d_q : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be the complete ordered dislocated quasi metric on X defined by $d_q(x, y) = x + 2y$, endowed with usual order. Let $S : X \rightarrow X$ be defined by,

$$Sx = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{7} & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \\ x - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \in (1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

$x_0 = 1, r = 3, \overline{B(x_0, r)} = [0, 1]$ and $\alpha(x, y) = 3$ for all x, y . Clearly S is an α - ψ -contractive mapping, where $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{3}$.

$$d_q(1, S1) = d_q\left(1, \frac{1}{7}\right) = 1 + \frac{2}{7} = \frac{9}{7}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi^n(d_q(x_0, Sx_0)) = \frac{9}{7} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{3^n} < \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{9}{7}\right) = \frac{27}{14} < 3$$

If $x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$, then



$$\frac{3x}{7} + \frac{6y}{7} \leq x + 2y$$

$$\frac{x}{7} + \frac{2y}{7} \leq \frac{x + 2y}{3}$$

$$d_q(Sx, Sy) \leq \psi(d_q(x, y))$$

Also if $x, y \in (1, \infty)$, then

$$3x + 6y - \frac{9}{2} > x + 2y$$

$$x + 2y - \frac{3}{2} > \frac{x + 2y}{3}$$

$$\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) + 2\left(y - \frac{1}{2}\right) > \psi(x + 2y)$$

$$d_q(Sx, Sy) > \psi(d_q(x, y))$$

Then the contractive condition does not hold on X .

Therefore, all the conditions of corollary 2.3 are satisfied and 0 is the unique fixed point of S .

Corollary 4 Let (X, p_q) be a left K -sequentially complete partial quasi metric space. Suppose there exist two functions, $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X and $S : X \rightarrow X$ be α -admissible with respect to η and $\psi \in \Psi$. Assume that,

$$x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}, \alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y) \implies p_q(Sx, Sy) \leq \psi(p_q(x, y))$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^j \psi^i(p_q(x_0, Sx_0)) \leq r + p(x_0, x_0) \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } r > 0.$$

Suppose that, the following assertions hold:

- (i) $\alpha(x_0, Sx_0) \geq \eta(x_0, Sx_0)$;
- (ii) for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$ such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $x_n \rightarrow u \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ then $\alpha(x_n, u) \geq \eta(x_n, u)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Then, there exists a point x^* in $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$ such that $x^* = Sx^*$.

Fixed point results for graphic contractions in dislocated quasi metric spaces

Consistent with Jachymski [23], let (X, d_q) be a dislocated quasi metric space and Δ denotes the diagonal of the Cartesian product $X \times X$. Consider a directed graph G such that the set $V(G)$ of its vertices coincides with X , and the set $E(G)$ of its edges contains all loops, i.e., $E(G) \supseteq \Delta$. We assume G has no parallel edges, so we can identify G with the pair $(V(G), E(G))$. Moreover, we may treat G as a weighted graph (see [23]) by assigning to each edge the distance

between its vertices. If x and y are vertices in a graph G , then a path in G from x to y of length m ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) is a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^m$ of $m + 1$ vertices such that $x_0 = x$, $x_m = y$ and $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E(G)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. A graph G is connected if there is a path between any two vertices. G is weakly connected if \tilde{G} is connected (see for details [1, 13, 21, 23]).

Definition 3.1 [23] We say that a mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a Banach G -contraction or simply G -contraction if T preserves edges of G , i.e.,

$$\forall x, y \in X ((x, y) \in E(G) \implies (Tx, Ty) \in E(G))$$

and T decreases weights of edges of G in the following way:

$$\exists k \in (0, 1), \forall x, y \in X ((x, y) \in E(G) \implies d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y)).$$

Definition 3.2 Let (X, d_q) be a dislocated quasi metric space endowed with a graph G and $S : X \rightarrow X$ be self-mapping. Assume that for $r > 0$, $x_0 \in X$ and $\psi \in \Psi$, following conditions hold,

$$\forall x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)} ((x, y) \in E(G) \implies (Sx, Sy) \in E(G)).$$

$$\forall x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}, (x, y) \in E(G) \implies d_q(Sx, Sy) \leq \psi(d_q(x, y)).$$

Then the mapping S is called a ψ -graphic contractive mapping. If $\psi(t) = kt$ for some $k \in [0, 1)$, then we say S is G -contractive mappings.

Theorem 5 Let (X, d_q) be a left K -sequentially complete dislocated quasi metric space endowed with a graph G and $S : X \rightarrow X$ be ψ -graphic contractive mapping. Suppose that the following assertions hold:

Definition 3.3

- (i) $(x_0, Sx_0) \in E(G)$ and $\sum_{i=0}^j \psi^i(d_q(x_0, Sx_0)) \leq r$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r > 0$.
- (ii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$ such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, then $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then S has a fixed point.

Proof Define, $\alpha : X^2 \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$ by $\alpha(x, y) =$

$$\begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (x, y) \in E(G) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \text{ At first we prove that the map-}$$

ping S is α -admissible. Let $x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$ with $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$, then $(x, y) \in E(G)$. As S is ψ -graphic contractive mappings, we have, $(Sx, Sy) \in E(G)$. That is, $\alpha(Sx, Sy) \geq 1$. Thus S is α -admissible mapping. From (i) there exists x_0 such that $(x_0, Sx_0) \in E(G)$. That is, $\alpha(x_0, Sx_0) \geq 1$. If $x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$ with $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$, then $(x, y) \in E(G)$. Now,



since S is ψ -graphic contractive mapping, so $d_q(Sx, Sy) \leq \psi(d_q(x, y))$. That is,

$$\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \implies d_q(Sx, Sy) \leq \psi(d_q(x, y)).$$

Let $\{x_n\} \subset \overline{B(x_0, r)}$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. So by (ii) we have, $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$. Hence, all conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied and S has a fixed point. \square

Theorem 3.2 (2°) [23] and corollary 2.3(2)[14] are extended to ψ -graphic contractive defined on a dislocated quasi metric space as follows.

Corollary 6 *Let (X, d_q) be a left K -sequentially complete dislocated quasi metric space endowed with a graph G and $S : X \rightarrow X$ be ψ -graphic contractive mapping. Suppose that the following assertions hold:*

- (i) $(x_0, Sx_0) \in E(G)$ and $\sum_{i=0}^j \psi^i(d_q(x_0, Sx_0)) \leq r$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r > 0$.
- (ii) $(x, z) \in E(G)$ and $(z, y) \in E(G)$ imply $(x, y) \in E(G)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, that is, $E(G)$ is a quasi-order [23] and if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$ such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, then there is a subsequence $\{x_{k_n}\}$ with $(x_{k_n}, x) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then S has a fixed point.

Proof Condition (ii) implies that of (ii) in Theorem 5 (see Remark 3.1 [23]). Now the conclusion follows from Theorem 5. \square

Corollary 7 *Let (X, d_q) be a left K -sequentially complete dislocated quasi metric space endowed with a graph G and $S : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that the following assertions hold:*

- (i) S is Banach G -contraction on $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$;
- (ii) $(x_0, Sx_0) \in E(G)$ and $d_q(x_0, Sx_0) \leq (1 - k)r$;
- (iii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$ such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, then $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then S has a fixed point.

Corollary 8 *Let (X, d_q) be a left K -sequentially complete dislocated quasi metric space endowed with a graph G and $S : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that the following assertions hold:*

- (i) S is Banach G -contraction on X and there is $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, Sx_0) \in E(G)$;
- (iii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, then $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then S has a fixed point.

The study of existence of fixed points in partially ordered sets has been initiated by Ran and Reurings [30] with applications to matrix equations. Agarwal, et al. [2], Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [12], Ćirić et al. [15] and Hussain et al. [22] presented some new results for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces and noted that their theorems can be used to investigate a large class of problems. Here as an application of our results we deduce some new common fixed point results in partially ordered dislocated quasi metric spaces.

Recall that if (X, \preceq) is a partially ordered set and $S : X \rightarrow X$ is such that for $x, y \in X$, with $x \preceq y$ implies $Sx \preceq Sy$, then the mapping S is said to be non-decreasing.

Let (X, d_q, \preceq) be a partially ordered dislocated quasi metric space. Define the graph G by

$$E(G) := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \preceq y\}.$$

For this graph, first condition in Definition 3.2 means S is non-decreasing with respect to this order. We derive following important results in partially ordered dislocated quasi metric spaces.

Corollary 9 *Let (X, \preceq, d_q) be a partially ordered left K -sequentially complete dislocated quasi metric space and $S : X \rightarrow X$ be a nondecreasing map. Suppose that the following assertions hold:*

- (i) there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that $d_q(Sx, Sy) \leq kd_q(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$ with $x \preceq y$;
- (ii) $x_0 \preceq Sx_0$ and $d_q(x_0, Sx_0) \leq (1 - k)r$;
- (iii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence in $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, then $x_n \preceq x$ for all n .

Then S has a fixed point.

Corollary 10 *Let (X, \preceq, d_q) be a partially ordered left K -sequentially complete dislocated quasi metric space and $S : X \rightarrow X$ be a nondecreasing map. Suppose that the following assertions hold:*

- (i) there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that $d_q(Sx, Sy) \leq kd_q(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \preceq y$;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \preceq Sx_0$;
- (iii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow x \in X$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, then $x_n \preceq x$ for all n .

Then S has a fixed point.

Corollary 11 ([29]) *Let (X, \preceq, d) be a partially ordered complete metric space and $S : X \rightarrow X$ be a nondecreasing mapping such that*



$$d(Sx, Sy) \leq kd(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \preceq y$ where $0 \leq k < 1$. Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \preceq Sx_0$;
- (ii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $x_n \preceq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, then $x_n \preceq x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then S has a fixed point.

Remark The above results can easily be proved in right K -sequentially dislocated quasi metric space.

Acknowledgments The authors sincerely thank the learned referees.

Competing interests The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

1. Abbas, M., Nazir, T.: Common fixed point of a power graphic contraction pair in partial metric spaces endowed with a graph. *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.* **2013**, 20 (2013)
2. Agarwal, R.P., El-Gebeily, M.A., O'Regan, D.: Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. *Appl. Anal.* **87**, 109–116 (2008)
3. Ali, M. U., Kamran T., Shahzad N.: Best proximity point for α - ψ -proximal contractive multimaps, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, p 7 (2014), Article ID 141489
4. Ali, M.U., Kamran, T., Karapinar, E.: Fixed point of α - ψ -contractive type mappings in uniform spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2014**, 150 (2014). doi:[10.1186/1687-1812-2014-150](https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2014-150)
5. Arshad, M., Azam A., Vetro, P.: Some common fixed point results in cone metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, p 11 (2009) Article ID 493965
6. Arshad, M., Shoaib, A., Abbas, M., Azam, A.: Fixed points of a pair of Kannan type mappings on a closed ball in ordered partial metric spaces. *Miskolc Math. Notes* **14**(3), 769–784 (2013)
7. M. Arshad, A. Shoaib and I. Beg, Fixed point of a pair of contractive dominated mappings on a closed ball in an ordered complete dislocated metric space. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, 1–15 (2013)
8. M. Arshad, A. Shoaib, and P. Vetro, Common Fixed Points Of A Pair Of Hardy Rogers Type Mappings On A Closed Ball In Ordered Dislocated Metric Spaces, *J.Funct Spaces Appl.* **2013**, 9 (2013), article ID 638181
9. Arshad, M., Azam, A., Abbas, M., Shoaib, A.: Fixed point results of dominated mappings on a closed ball in ordered partial metric spaces without continuity. *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A*, **76**(2), 123–134 (2014)
10. Azam, A., Hussain, S., Arshad, M.: Common fixed points of Chatterjea type fuzzy mappings on closed balls. *Neural Computing & Applications* **21**(Suppl 1), S313–S317 (2012)
11. Azam, A., Waseem, M., Rashid, M.: Fixed point theorems for fuzzy contractive mappings in quasi-pseudo-metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, 27 (2013)
12. Bhaskar, T.G., Lakshmikantham, V.: Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* **65**, 1379–1393 (2006)
13. Bojor, F.: Fixed point theorems for Reich type contraction on metric spaces with a graph. *Nonlinear Anal.* **75**, 3895–3901 (2012)
14. Bojor, F.: Fixed point of ϕ -contraction in metric spaces endowed with a graph. *Ann. Univ. Craiova Math. Comput. Sci. Ser.* **37**(4), 85–92 (2010)
15. Ćirić, L., Abbas, M., Saadati, R., Hussain, N.: Common fixed points of almost generalized contractive mappings in ordered metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **217**, 5784–5789 (2011)
16. Cobzas, S.: *Functional analysis in Asymmetric Normed Spaces*, Frontiers in Mathematics. Birkhauser, Basel (2013)
17. Haghi, R.H., Rezapour, Sh, Shahzad, N.: Some fixed point generalizations are not real generalizations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**, 1799–1803 (2011)
18. Hitzler, P., Seda, A. K.: Dislocated topologies, *J. Electr. Eng.* **51**(12/s), 3–7 (2000)
19. Hussain, N., Arshad, M., Shoaib A.: Fahimuddin, common fixed point results for α - ψ -contractions on a metric space endowed with graph. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2014**:136 (2014)
20. Hussain, N.: E. karapinar, P. Salimi and F. Akbar α -admissible mappings and related fixed point theorems. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, 114 (2013)
21. Hussain, N., Al-Mezel S., SALIMI, Peyman.: Fixed points for α - ψ -graphic contractions with application to integral equations. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, (2013) Article 575869
22. Hussain, N., Khan A.R., Agarwal, Ravi P.: Krasnosel'skii and Ky Fan type fixed point theorems in ordered Banach spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, **11**(3), (2010), 475–489
23. Jachymski, J.: The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1**(136), 1359–1373 (2008)
24. Karapinar, E., Erhan, İ.M., Öztürk, A.: Fixed point theorems on quasi-partial metric spaces. *Math. Comp. Model.* (2012). doi:[10.1016/j.mcm.2012.06.036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcm.2012.06.036)
25. Karapinar, E., Samet, B.: Generalized α - ψ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems with applications, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* (2012) Article ID 793486
26. Kutbi, M. A., Arshad, M., Hussain, A.: ON modified $(\alpha$ - η)-contractive mappings. p 7 (2014) Article ID 657858
27. Kutbi, M. A., Ahmad, J., Hussain N., Arshad, M.: Common fixed point results for mappings with rational expressions, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* p 11 (2013) Article ID 549518
28. Matthews, S. G.: Partial metric topology. In: *Proceedings 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications*, Ann. New York Acad. Sci., **728**, 183–197(1994)
29. Nieto, J.J., Rodríguez-López, R.: Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations. *Order*, **22**, 223–229 (2005)
30. Ran, A.C.M., Reurings, M.C.B.: A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **132**, 1435–1443 (2003)
31. Reilly, I.L., Subrahmanyam, P.V., Vamanamurthy, M.K.: Cauchy sequences in quasi-pseudo-metric spaces. *Monatsh. Math.* **93**, 127–140 (1982)
32. Ren, Y., Li, J., Yu, Y.: Common fixed point Theorems for non-linear contractive mappings in dislocated metric spaces, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, Vol. 2013, p 5 (2013), Article ID 483059
33. Salimi, P., Latif, A., Hussain, N.: Modified α - ψ -contractive mappings with applications. *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.* **2013**, 151 (2013)



34. Samet, B., Vetro, C., Vetro, P.: Fixed point theorems for α - ψ -contractive type mappings. *Nonlinear Anal.* **75**, 2154–2165 (2012)
35. Shoaib, A., Arshad M., Ahmad, J.: Fixed point results of locally contractive mappings in ordered quasi-partial metric spaces. *Sci. World J.* (2013), Article ID 194897, p 8
36. Shoaib, A., Arshad, M., Kutbi, M.A.: Common fixed points of a pair of Hardy Rogers Type Mappings on a closed ball in ordered partial metric spaces. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **17**(2), 255–264 (2014)
37. Zeyada, F.M., Hassan, G.H., Ahmed, M.A.: A generalization of a fixed point theorem due to Hitzler and Seda in dislocated quasi-metric spaces. *Arab J. Sci. Eng. A* **31**(1), 111–114 (2006)

